



### Redshift space distortion of BOSS galaxies and its cosmological constraints

### **Yipeng Jing**

Dept. of Phys. and Astron.



## The Hubble diagram of Supernova la: accelerated expansion





#### **Concordance Cosmology: Cosmological constant**



#### <u>Supernova</u>

**Accelerated Expansion** 

 Ω<sub>Λ</sub> ~ 1 (p=wpc<sup>2</sup>, e.g. w=-1 vacuum energy)

LSS and Clusters

• Ω<sub>m</sub> ~0.3

<u>CMB</u>

- flat (Ω<sub>TOT</sub>=1)
- $\Omega_{\rm m} \sim 0.3 \Rightarrow \Omega_{\Lambda} \sim 0.7$
- They together (with many others)
- Ω<sub>m</sub>~0.3 Ω<sub>Λ</sub>~0.7



#### Either side of the GR equation could be a solution



**R.H.S: Dark Energy**  $T_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}(DE)$ **L.H.S : Modified Gravity**  $R \rightarrow F(R)$ 

#### Redshift-space galaxy-galaxy correlation function $\xi(r_p, \pi)$



#### SDSS BOSS CMASS sample DR11





The sample contains about 600000 massive galaxies at redshift 0.6, perhaps most suitable for measuring RS P(K)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Challenge in measuring RS power spectrum

- It is generally preferred to measure the power spectrum in redshift space (RS), as the RS P(k) is coupled weakly at different scales, and the nonlinearities (such as mapping, galaxy bias, structure evolution)can be handled on quailinear scales (hopefully)
- But it is not easy to directly measure the RS P(K) because of two problems 1) lines of the sights to galaxies not parallel; 2) convolved with a complex observational window function plus a shot noise
- Use the moving-LOS method by Yamamoto et al.(2006,PASJ) to solve problem 1.
- It seems there is no good way to solve problem 2 especially on the scale comparable to that of the survey

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The method of Jing & Boerner (2001): simple and accurate

- So Both the window function and the shot noise can be corrected in the correlation function (CF) measurement  $P_g^s(k,\mu) = \int \xi_g^s(s_{\perp},s_{\parallel}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{s}} d^3\mathbf{s}$
- RS P(k) from the CF

$$\begin{split} s_{g}^{s}(k,\mu) &= \int \xi_{g}^{s}(s_{\perp},s_{\parallel})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{s}}d^{3}\mathbf{s} \\ &= \int \xi_{g}^{s}(s_{\perp},s_{\parallel})e^{i(k_{\parallel}s_{\parallel}+k_{\perp}s_{\perp}\cos(\phi))}s_{\perp}ds_{\perp}d\phi ds_{\parallel} \\ &= \int \xi_{g}^{s}(s_{\perp},s_{\parallel})K(k_{\perp},k_{\parallel};s_{\perp},s_{\parallel})s_{\perp}ds_{\perp}ds_{\parallel} , \ (3) \end{split}$$

where  $k_{\parallel} = k \cdot \mu$  and  $k_{\perp} = \sqrt{k^2 - k_{\parallel}^2}$ . Notice that  $\mu$  here is not related to  $\mu_s$  in the correlation function. The kernel K is defined as  $K(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel}; s_{\perp}, s_{\parallel}) = \cos(k_{\parallel}s_{\parallel})J_0(k_{\perp}s_{\perp})$  with  $J_0(x) = \int e^{ix\cos(\phi)}d\phi$  the zeroth order Bessel function. In practice, we need to cut

One worry is that the errors of P(k) could be correlated on different scales, but we will see the correlations are weak

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Subhalo catalog, from an LCDM simulation with Np=3072\*\*3 and L=1200 Mpc/h
- Using the Galaxy-Subhalo Matching method of Wang & Jing (2010), we have constructed a CMASS mock catalog (Cubic volume)
- Result: P(K) from the FFT of CF and that directly from FFT are in good agreement, indicating one can get P(K) if the input CF is correct.

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

# JB2001 method (red lines) vs direct FFT (black points with error bars)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

Li, Zhigang, JYP et al 2016, ApJ, accepted

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Applied to CMASS sample DR11

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Correlation function in redshift space

Power spectrum in redshift space

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Error Matrix estimated from mocks**

1. Estimate the error matrix of P(k) with MultiDark Patchy mock catalogs

2. Found different modes correlate weakly, the method not introduces mode-mode coupling

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Comparing with previous works**

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Previous works: window function or shot noise may not be properly corrected** 

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Reproduced RS CFs in previous studies**

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Incomplete list of approximations/simplifications in RSD modeling**

$$\mathbf{x}^{s} = \mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{x}}{H} \hat{x} \xrightarrow{\text{Distant observer}} \mathbf{x}^{s} = \mathbf{x} + \frac{v_{z}}{H} \hat{z}$$
Neglecting AP/relativistic effects/lensing distortion
$$P^{s}(\mathbf{k}) = \int \langle (1 + \delta_{1})(1 + \delta_{2})e^{ik_{z}(v_{1z} - v_{2z})/H} \rangle e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^{3}x$$
Cumulant expansion theorem
$$P^{s}_{g}(k, u) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{g}(k)(1 + \beta \tilde{W}(k)u^{2})^{2} + u^{4}P_{\theta_{S}\theta_{S}}(k) + \cdots \end{bmatrix} D^{\text{FOG}}(ku)$$
Linear density-velocity relation, no velocity bias
$$P^{s}_{q}(k, u) = P_{g}(k)(1 + \beta u^{2})^{2}D^{\text{FOG}}(ku)$$

Further approximations often used in observations

- Scale independent galaxy density bias
- **D**<sup>FOG</sup>: Gaussian, Lorentz, more complicated? Meaning of  $\sigma_{v}$ ?

## Disentangle RSD: A generic velocity decomposition

- Velocity decomposition into three eigen-modes:
  - Gradient part  $v_E$ 
    - $v_{\delta}$ : correlated with density: mostly linear, dominant at large scale
    - v<sub>s</sub>: uncorrelated: significant at intermediate scale
  - Curl part  $v_B$ 
    - Highly nonlinear, small scale
- Different origins
- Different scale/z dependence
- Different RSD

Zhang,, Pan, Zheng, 2013

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

## A formula of Zhang et al. (2013)

$$P_{\delta\delta}^{s}(k,u) = \begin{cases} P_{\delta\delta}(k)(1+f\tilde{W}(k)u^{2})^{2} + u^{4}P_{\theta_{S}\theta_{S}}(k) \\ +C_{NG}(k,u) + C_{G}(k,u) + C_{S}(k,u) \} \\ \times D_{\delta}^{\text{FOG}}(ku)D_{S}^{\text{FOG}}(ku)D_{B}^{\text{FOG}}(ku) . \quad (26) \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})/\tilde{H} \equiv -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{E}(\mathbf{x})/H.$$

$$W(\mathbf{k}) = W(k) = \frac{P_{\delta\theta}(k)}{P_{\delta\delta}(k)}$$

$$\tilde{W}(k) \equiv \frac{W(k)}{W(k \to 0)} = \frac{W(k)}{f} = \frac{1}{f}\frac{P_{\delta\theta}(k)}{P_{\delta\delta}(k)}$$

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Cheng,D.L. et al in preparation Accurate model for W(k)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 1. Mainly depend on power spectrum, only weakly on cosmology
- 2. With third-order PT + simulations, we can predict W(K) at the accuracy better than1%

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Anisotropy Measure (AM)**

- One of the main aims to measure RS P(k)is to measure the motion of galaxies, thus the growth rate of structures;
- To minimize to uncertainties of galaxy bias and non
   -linar evolution, we define the anisotropy measure:

$$\mathrm{AM}(k,\mu) \equiv \frac{P_g^s(k,\mu)}{P_g^s(k,\mu=0)} \; .$$

• To the major order, it is related to the galaxy motion on  $AM^{md}(k, \mu) = (1 + \beta W(k)\mu^2)^2 \exp\{-(k\mu \tilde{\sigma}_v)^2\}$ .

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Anisotropy Measure of CMASS galaxies**

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

kµ<0.1 h/Mpc for our model fitting, in order to minimize high order effects (which will be considered in a future work)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

FIG. 6.— Two dimensional likelihood function of  $\beta$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_v$  for BOSS-DR11 CMASS galaxies. The orange contours show 68% confidence level, while the green contours show 95% confidence level.

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Our main result of the growth rate at z=0.57

$$f(z_{\rm eff})\sigma_8(z_{\rm eff}) = 0.440 \pm 0.037$$

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

FIG. 7.— Normalized likelihood function of  $f(z_{\text{eff}})\sigma_8(z_{\text{eff}})$ BOSS-DR11 CMASS galaxies. The black solid curve shows sults assuming  $\Omega_m = 0.3$  in measuring  $b_g \sigma_8(z_{\text{eff}})$  and the mage dashed curve corresponds to  $\Omega_m = 0.274$ . The figure shows neg gible difference for these two cases.

FIG. 8.— Constraints on  $f(z_{\rm eff})\sigma_8(z_{\rm eff})$  from BOSS CMASS DR10, DR11 and DR12 release. Our result are shown in red diamond. Black diamonds show the results from various literatures. Magenta diamonds show those analysis that do not include the AP effect or use fiducial parameters for the AP effect. The green band show the 1 $\sigma$  confidence level allowed by Planck15 assuming  $\Lambda$ CDM+GR model and grey band for WMAP9 assuming  $\Lambda$ CDM+GR model.

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Simulation test for w(k)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

It is necessary to include nonlinearity(W(k)), which can bias the f measure to a lower value (for our cut k and  $\mu$ , it is 5-10%)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Summary

- RSD is potentially a powerful tool to study dark energy and modified gravity
- Our method of measuring RS power spectrum from RS correlation function is simple and accurate
- It is necessary to include the quasi
   -nonlinear effect W(k) in the velocity
   field even at scale k<0.24 and kμ<0.1</li>
- Applied to SDSS DR11, we get  $f(z_{\rm eff})\sigma_8(z_{\rm eff}) = 0.440 \pm 0.037$

Li, Zhigang, JYP et al 2016, ApJ, accepted; arXiv:1609.03697

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Outlook

- Modeling: high order effects; full simulations
- Observations: SDSS DR12, and future surveys

## Thank you!

# Acknowledgements: Zhigang Li, Pengjie Zhang, Dalong Cheng